Hi,

As a supplement, I refer you to a statement from ARPANSA, assuring the public on the safety of 5G:

https://www.zdnet.com/article/australias-radiation-safety-agency-reinforces-that-5g-is-safe/

ACBR's provocation study that has been **cited as scientific evidence of no harm** (and EHS complaint is of nocebo effect origin) had **only 3 participants!**<u>Bioelectromagnetics.</u> 2018 Feb;39(2):132-143. doi: 10.1002/bem.22095. Epub 2017 Nov 10.

IEI-EMF provocation case studies: A novel approach to testing sensitive individuals.

<u>Verrender A</u>1,2, <u>Loughran SP</u>1,2, <u>Anderson V</u>1,3, <u>Hillert L</u>1,4,5, <u>Rubin GJ</u>1,6, <u>Oftedal G</u>1,7, <u>Croft RJ</u>1,2.

Given the population of Australia exceeds 20 million, 3 participants in a study would not even come close to statistically significant in the local kindergarten and can't be relied upon as conclusive evidence...this is not science. Let us also not forget the ARPANSA disclaimer that ARPANSA advice cannot be relied upon. This disclaimer is not disclosed to an unsuspecting public or media.

Also, see this ABC report by the ACEBR PhD student who, on the back of the study with 3 subjects, is now seemingly an expert? https://www.abc.net.au/news/2019-01-09/huawei-small-cell-boxes-fuelling-phone-radiation-anxiety/10701856

This so called ARPANSA/ACEBR "science" is at least consistently flawed in its design. For example, if you look to the ARPANSA study in schools that suggests wifi in class is safe for children, you will note in the fine-print that most classrooms were empty...devoid of the cumulative effect of multiple cell phones, laptops, printers and so on: https://www.arpansa.gov.au/research/surveys/wi-fi-in-schools-measurement-study.

Then there is SAM...the safety of children is based on industry modelling involving the head of a 100KG US Male that is representative of 3% of the population only (very different to a 3 year old head calling grandma) and industry modelling is based on a heavy users being one who uses the phone for 6 minutes.

We can't have misleading science putting the lives and futures of our children at risk and placing a heavy Burdon our health system for corporate profit.

I suggest you do your own research on class actions emerging in the USA. Australia will not be far behind.

Sincerely,

Steve J. Toneguzzo

(B.E.Eng., Grad.Dip.Comp.Sc., M.Eng.Sc., CPEng., Fellow IEAUST., NER, APEC, IntPE(Aus)).

Chair: www.ECSFR.com.au